# Sixth Appellate District

San Jose, California

## MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 1998

#### H016908 PEOPLE v. CLARK

The new restitution order increasing the restitution amount from \$790 to \$2,605 is reversed. In all other respects, the judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Premo, Acting P.J.; We concur: Elia, J., Wunderlich, J.) Filed September 21, 1998

## H017412 PEOPLE v. GUTIERREZ

The judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Bamattre-Manoukian, J.; We concur: Cottle, P.J., Mihara, J.) Filed September 21, 1998

# H016693 PEOPLE v. VARGAS

The judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Cottle, P.J.; We concur: Bamattre-Manoukian, J., Mihara, J.) Filed September 21, 1998

## TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 22, 1998

# H017188 FAITH ASSEMBLY OF SAN JOSE v. ANTIOCH KOREAN BAPTIST

We affirm the order denying Faith's motion for summary judgment and the resulting judgment. Church is entitled to recover costs on appeal. (not published) (Bamattre-Manoukian, J.; We concur: Cottle, P.J., Mihara, J.) Filed September 22, 1998

## H017776 MC ELHINNY v. BLUST

The orders are affirmed. (not published) (Mihara, J.; We concur: Cottle, P.J., Bamattre-Manoukian, J.) Filed September 22, 1998

#### WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 1998

## H015556 PEOPLE v. BONILLA, et al.

The judgment as to Bonilla and the judgment as to Garcia are affirmed. The clerk of the superior court is directed to correct the abstract of judgment as to Bonilla so as to reflect imposition of only one enhancement for count 2, a 10 year enhancement for personal use of a fireman pursuant to section 12022.5, subdivision (a)(1). (not published) (Premo, Acting P.J.; We concur: Elia, J., Wunderlich, J.) Filed September 23, 1998

## THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 24, 1998

## H017453 PEOPLE v. BYRD

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)

# Sixth Appellate District

San Jose, California

Filed September 24, 1998

## Thursday, September 24, 1998 (continued)

## H016717 PEOPLE v. BELLO

The judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Premo, J.; We concur: Cottle, P.J., Elia, J.) Filed September 24, 1998

H017473 JANE H. THOMPSON v. W. SPENCER THOMPSON

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)

(Mihara, J.; We concur: Cottle, P.J., Bamattre-Manoukian, J.)

Filed September 24, 1998

## FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 25, 1998

The Court met in its courtroom at 333 West Santa Clara Street, Suite 1060, San Jose, California. Present: Cottle, P.J.; Bamattre-Manoukian, J., Mihara, J.; and R. W. Norvelle, Deputy Clerk.

#### H017022 PEOPLE v. WHITWORTH

Cause called and argued by Paul Kleven appearing for appellant and by Rene A. Chacon, Deputy Attorney General, appearing for respondent. Cause ordered submitted.

#### H017301 PEOPLE v. MENDOZA

Cause called and argued by Andrew Janecki appearing for appellant and by Jeremy Friedlander, Deputy Attorney General, appearing for respondent. Cause ordered submitted.

## H017185 PEOPLE v. BODDIE

Cause called and argued by Peter Goldscheider appearing for appellant and by Jeffery M. Laurence, Deputy Attorney General, appearing for respondent. Cause ordered submitted.

## H015970 PEOPLE v. CRIPPEN

Cause called and argued by Lawrence Gibbs appearing for appellant and by Violet M. Lee, Deputy Attorney General, appearing for respondent. Cause ordered submitted.

# H017474 POOLE v. ADMUNDSON, As Director, etc.; SAN ANDREAS REGIONAL CENTER

Cause called and argued by Charles Marx appearing for appellant and by Nancy J. Johnson appearing for respondent San Andreas Regional Center. There is no appearance for respondent, Director, etc. Cause ordered submitted. Court adjourns.

#### H017600 KAYSING v. LOVELL

The fudament is affirmed (not nublished)

## San Jose, California

(Bamattre-Manoukian, J.; We concur: Cottle, P.J., Mihara, J.) Filed September 25, 1998

## Friday, September 25, 1998 (continued)

H017456 PEOPLE v. SADAT J., A MINOR
The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Premo, J.; We concur: Cottle, P.J., Elia, J.)
Filed September 25, 1998

#### H017615 PEOPLE v. PASILLAS

The civil penalty is ordered stricken without prejudice to a civil action in which such penalties could be recovered as provided by law. The superior court is ordered to return to defendant all moneys paid by defendant toward the civil penalty. In all other respects, the judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Bamattre-Manoukian, J.; We concur: Cottle, P.J., Mihara, J.) Filed September 25, 1998